The Drug Safety and Effectiveness Network – a perspective from Industry

**Canadian Association for Population Therapeutics - April 21, 2009** 

Dr. Judith Glennie
Director, Submissions/Post-Marketing Effectiveness
Research/Planning

Medical and Government Affairs





#### Disclaimer

"The views expressed herein are my own, and do not necessarily represent those of Janssen-Ortho Inc., the Johnson & Johnson Family of Companies, and/or Rx&D."



#### Perspectives

- DSEN is an important Canadian public policy initiative
   BUT.....
- Success will be determined by:
  - degree of inclusiveness
  - □ scientific rigor
  - □ integrity of processes, methods, results
- "Accountability" will make or break the initiative
  - □ the organization, its advisors, its processes, the researchers involved, the outputs, etc.
  - □ transparency of "everything" is key (policies, processes, etc.)



### Opportunities

- Bringing safety into context with effectiveness data
  - balanced evaluation of safety AND effectiveness data
    - enable informed assessment, dialogue in the benefit-risk process
- Enhanced understanding of product performance in the real world
  - □ for the benefit of patients, prescribers, decision-makers, etc.
- Alignment and collaboration on multiple fronts
  - □ collaborative methods development approach
    - e.g., possible future CIHR/Rx&D Collaborative Research Program Theme Grant???



## Challenges

- Help stakeholders to understand how they can make their views known
  - □ a "plague" on the NPS/RWSE/DSEN process since its inception
  - current stance continues to imply a desire to keep certain sectors out
  - undermines the transparency and credibility of the process from the outset
- Finding effective and genuine mechanisms for engaging groups with important inputs (e.g., industry, patients)
  - □ support high quality science
  - protect scientific integrity

# **Key Success Factors**

- Multi-sectoral buy-in and support
  - □ "moral", financial, other
- Long-term planning and commitments
- Decision-makers as key participants
  - developing the research question, assessing the value of the results (have we lost the lessons from CHSRF????)
- Get the right expertise at the table the <u>first</u> time
  - □ and then transparently manage real/perceived conflicts
  - □ focus on maximizing quality and integrity of research outputs
  - □ importance of access to international expertise
- At the end of the day......
  - ensuring that focus is on executing the highest quality research in as transparent a manner as possible